

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,903	08/21/2003	Guillermo Andres	10982158-2	6427
7590 03/03/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			OSORIO, RICARDO	
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2673	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/644,903	ANDRES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RICARDO L. OSORIO	2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 December 2005</u> .						
·—	· 					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-7 and 10-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 5-7, and 10-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Di	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom reprioduoti (i 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/644,903

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

In response to amendment of claim 1, rejection under 112 has been withdrawn.

Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Ganthier et al** (5,865,546).

As to claims 1, 16, **Ganthier et al.** discloses a computer user interface device, a keyboard module / a first module for producing keyboard function signals based on user input operations (figs.1, 3 (130)), a specialty module/second module cooperative couple to said keyboard module for producing a specialized set of user function signals based on user input operations (fig. 1,3 (102, 140, 160)), circuitry, on said specialty module, for coupling the signals to the computer (fig.3 (99)).

As to claim 5 **Ganthier et al** further teaches a the keyboard module includes a mechanical coupling structure (fig.1 (105,107)) and the specialty module includes a mechanical coupling structure (fig.1 (113,134)) complementary with the mechanical coupling structure on the keyboard module.

As to claims 6,15, Ganthier et al also show one of the mechanical coupling structures includes a cavity for keyboard and specialty module (fig. 1(104, 110, 120)).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,903 Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

In regard to 7, **Ganthier et al** further teaches the mechanical coupling structures include electrical connectors (figs.1 and 4 (125), fig.3 (105,111,121,115)(85-88)).

As to claim 10, **Ganthier et al** also disclose that the computer user interface device is one of a computer keyboard (see, figs.1 and 4 (130)).

As to claim 11, Ganthier et al discloses the keyboard module (fig.1 (130)). It is inherent for Ganthier et al's keyboard to have a cursor keys and programmable function keys. In regard to claim 12, Ganthier et al shows the mechanical coupling structure includes a guide rails (fig.1 (106)) and guide slots (fig.1, (105,113,123)).

As to claim 13, **Ganthier et al** further teaches a locking structure for locking the keyboard module and specialty module (fig. 1(107, 106, 131), fig. 4,(135, 136)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,903

Art Unit: 2673

3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ganthier et al** in

Page 4

view of **Daly et al** (4,969,830).

As to claim 14, Ganthier et al discloses a computer interface including a keyboard module and specialty module (see, figs.1 and 4), but failed to teach these two modules

having a snap lock. Daly et al is cited to teach that it is well known for a computer

interface device to have a snap locking mechanism (see, Abstract, col.1, lines 54-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention

was made to have been motivated to incorporate the locking system of Daly et al into the

computer interface device of Ganthier et al, because this will provide an automatically

effects engagement of the keyboard and the specialty modules a secured connection.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6 and 14 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricardo L. Osorio whose telephone number is 571-272-7676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala whose telephone number is 571-272-7681.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at the

Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,903

Art Unit: 2673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ricardo L. Osorio **Primary Examiner** Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

RLO

February 21, 2006